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Abstract. Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici (FORL) causes Fusarium crown and root-rot diseases and Tomato yellow leaf curling virus (TYLCV, Israel, Mild, Sardinian strains) infection leads to low yield and poor quality fruits, which causes important economic losses in tomato growing areas. In this study, previously developed molecular markers for FORL and TYLCV were used with 418 tomato genotypes which have characteristics of high yield, quality fruit and resistance to abiotic stresses. 62 tomato lines were obtained from Cukurova University (CU), 196 and 160 of tomato lines were generated from Western Mediterranean Agricultural Research Institute (BATEM) and private sector, respectively. All genotypes were tested with classical and molecular methods where species specific resistance RAPD and SCAR primers for FORL, CAPS and Co-dominant SCAR primers for TYLCV resistance were used. Analyses revealed that 102 tomato genotype are resistant to FORL, 46 and 35 of plant genotypes contain TY3 and TY1 loci respectively. Three genotypes from BATEM and 4 genotypes from private sector contained the 3 target genes (FORL+TY1+TY3), however, none of the tested CU tomato genotype was resistant to the 3 target genes. Furthermore, yield and some fruit quality characteristics of 7 tomato lines which were resistant to both diseases were determined in molecular and classical tests.
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Introduction

It is extremely difficult to control the most significant form of Fusarium crown and root-rot disease (Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici / FORL) that economically limits greenhouse tomato production since it is a soil borne disease (Colak and Bicici, 2011). FORL leads to recurrent infections during the season due to the spread of microconidia, especially in greenhouses, and results crop losses up to 90% in greenhouse tomato cultivation (Hibar, 2002). Commercially viable, agent-resistant indigenous varieties with adequate resistance to Fusarium crown and root-rot induced by FORL are not yet developed (Ozbay et al., 2004). In a study conducted in greenhouse tomato fields in Adana and Mersin provinces, formae specialis and strains of 87 Fusarium oxysporum isolates were determined in different locations and 60% of the isolates were identified as FORL while 40% isolate were identified as FOL. The
results of this study demonstrated that FORL disease spreads rapidly in the region (Çolak and Biçici, 2013).

*Tomato yellow leaf curl virus* (TYLCV) is one of the most common diseases in greenhouse tomato fields for the last 20 years. Similar to other viral diseases, TYLCV is the most devastating virus disease active on tomatoes that can cause an 80-100% loss in tomato yields through epidemics in several countries and there are no chemical control agents against the disease which exhibits genetic differences similar to all virus diseases. It was first reported in 1964 on tomato plants (Moriones and Navas, 2000). TYLCV is persistently transported by silver leaf whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*, Biotype B and Q). TYLCV has a quite broad host range. TYLCV is not mechanically transported and there are no records of transport via seeds (Czosnek, 2007; Mabvakure et al., 2016). Different TYLCV strains were reported at different parts of the world. The most common of these strains is the *Tomato yellow leaf curl virus*- Israel (TYLCV - IL), Israel strain. It is followed by *Tomato yellow leaf curl virus*- Sicily (TYLCSV - Sic), *Tomato yellow leaf curl virus*- Mild (TYLCV - Mld) and Sardinia (TYLCV - Sa) strains (Anfoka et al., 2005; Belabess et al., 2015). A study was conducted to identify the TYLCV strains present in Turkey in tomato cultivation fields in Antalya, Mersin and Adana provinces. The study determined that TYLCV-IL, TYLCV-Sa, TYLCV-Mld strains were present. It was observed that 93% of the whitefly samples collected on the infected tomato plants were *B. tabaci* Biotype - B (*B. argentifolii*) and sample of 7% were Biotype - Q (Fidan et al., 2011; Torre et al., 2018).

Parallel to the international studies, the use of resistant varieties in the control of plant diseases is the preferred method in Turkey to reduce the negative effects of the use of chemicals in the control of plant diseases. The development of resistant varieties in breeding work is obtained as a result of long-term studies. Several resistance genes, expected in a variety in breeding studies, could result in undesirable properties along with desirable ones (Scott, 2005). The morphological determinants used in traditional breeding studies could be affected by environmental conditions, although they help distinguish the genotypes. Homozygous, dominant and heterozygous individuals cannot be identified if any one of these characters is recessive. In recent years, molecular marker assisted selection (MAS) in disease resistance has been developing rapidly (Yan et al., 2017). Thus, hundreds of plants can be selected concurrently, reliably, and rapidly, by saving time and space in the selection of disease-resistant varieties (Darling and Brickell, 1994; Barone et al., 2005; Devran et al., 2018).

The FORL-related genetic resistance is controlled by a single (*Fr1* gene) dominant gene (Roberts et al., 2001). Studies on identification of a marker associated with *Fr1* demonstrated that *Fr1* is located on the ninth chromosome in the tomato (Vakalounakis et al., 1997). In the study where the connections between RAPD-DNA markers and *Fr1* were determined, 1000 different RAPD primers were tested for sensitive and resistant tomato lines. In the study, *Fr1* gene connection distances were examined and it was determined that the UBC 194 was the closest primer with 5.1 cM. The selection of the closest primer in breeding studies is important for the reliability of the results. Since CAPS, SCAR and Co-dominant SCAR primers, which are a further stage of RAPD primers in the identification of FORL resistance, were not developed for this disease or were not patented and published, UBC 194-RAPD primer is used by several researchers (Fazio et al., 1999).
Transferring the resistance in wild varieties to culture plants is one of the frequently used methods for TYLCV resistance. For this purpose, variety breeding was conducted with TY1 resistance marker developed with *Solanum chilense* (LA1969). However, it was determined that varieties with this resistance gene do not provide the desired level of protection (Castro et al., 2007). In most Mediterranean countries, TYLCV-Israel and Mild strains are common, and TYLCV Sardinia and Sicilian strains can also be found (Fidan et al., 2011). To ensure resistance against all these strains, it was reported that tomato lines that contain both Ty3 marker, developed from *Solanum chilense* (LA1932), and Ty1 gene provide significant resistance. Similar to all viral diseases, developing resistant varieties against this viral disease, which cannot be controlled chemically and possesses high genetic variability, became one of the most important strategies in recent years (Jensen et al., 2007).

The present study aimed to identify and validate the resistance against *Fusarium* crown and root-rot (FORL) disease and *Tomato yellow leaf curl virus* (TYLCV / Israel, Mild and Sardinia strains (TY1 + TY3 gene) that are significant problems in tomato cultivation with molecular and classical (symptomatologic) methods. For this purpose, 418 tomato lines, which were determined to be superior in terms of yield and certain fruit quality properties and determined to be resistant to abiotic factors, were used. Thus, yield and certain fruit quality properties of line and/or variety candidates that are resistant to both diseases (FORL + TY1 + TY3) were determined.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant material**

The main material included 418 F2 generation tomato lines procured from Cukurova University (CU, 62 lines), West Mediterranean Agricultural Research Institute (BATEM, 160 lines) and private sector (AYER, 196 lines). Fla.7781, *Solanum chilense* LA2779, Tayfun F1 and sensitive variety Hazera 5656 were used as positive controls in molecular and classical tests (symptomatologic) conducted to determine FORL and TYLCV resistance (Colak and Bicici, 2011; Kabaş et al., 2012).

**Molecular Studies**

**Genomic DNA isolation and amplification studies**

DNA Prufication Mini Kit (Thermo Scientific GeneJET Plant - K0792) was used in total genomic DNA isolation of tomato lines. For this purpose, 100 mg young leaves obtained from positive controls and tomato line during the 2-4-leaf period. The DNAs were adjusted to 40 ng using a spectrophotometer, and controlled in 0.8% agarose gel and stored at -20°C.

**PCR conditions and agarose gel electrophoresis studies**

PCR studies were conducted on 418 F2 generation tomato lines for FORL and TYLCV resistant.

In the study, FORL resistance was determined with UBC194 RAPD (Fazio et al., 1999) and SCARfri (Mutlu et al., 2015) primers. In the study, PCR studies were conducted on tomato lines resistant to all three agents (FORL + TY1 + TY3) based on the new publication of SCARfri primer (*Table 1*). PCR reaction (final volume 25 μL) for FORL and TYLCV was conducted with 11 μL DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix
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(ThermoScientific-K1082) (containing 0.5 mM Taq polymerase (2 U), 2X DreamTaq Green buffer, 0.4 mM (200 µM) of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP each and 4 mM MgCl2), 1 µL F + 1 µL R primer and 1 µL DNA (10 ng) and added 11 µL ddH2O.

PCR conditions for the FORL-UBC194 primer were as follows: 3 min pre-denaturation at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 1 min at 95°C, 1 min at 38°C, 1 min at 72°C, and 1 cycle 10 min final elongation at 72°C min were programmed.

**Table 1.** Nucleotide sequences and size of the primers used for the FORL, TY3 and TY1 resistance in the experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Annealing temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’3’)</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UBC194 (FORL)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5’-AGGACGTGCC-3’</td>
<td>590 bp resistance</td>
<td>Fazio et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Morid et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCARFR1 (FORL)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5’-CACATTCATCATCTGTGTTAGTCTATTCC3’</td>
<td>950 bp susceptible 1000 bp resistance 950-100 bp heterozygous resistance</td>
<td>Mutlu et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6-25-F2 (TY3)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5’GGTAGTGGAATGATGCTGCTC-3’</td>
<td>320 bp susceptible 650 bp resistance</td>
<td>Jensen et al., 2007 Ji et al., 2007abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6-25-R5 (TY3)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5’-GCTCTGCCTATTGTCCCATATATAACC-3’</td>
<td>400 bp susceptible 450 bp resistance</td>
<td>Castro et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JB1F (TY1)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5’-AACCATTATCCCGTTACCTC-3’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JB1R (TY1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5’-TTTCCATTCCTGTGGTCTGT-3’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCR conditions for the FORL-SCARFR1, TY3 and TY1 primers were as follows: 3 min pre-denaturation at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 sec at 95°C, 45 sec at 53°C, 45 sec at 72°C and 1 final elongation cycle at 72°C for 10 min were programmed. The PCR products obtained for TY1 were cut with TaqI restriction enzyme to determine their resistance. Digestion was conducted according to Thermo Scientific. Restrictions of 10 µl of the amplified products, ddH2O µl 17, 10 × Fast Digest Green Buffer 2 µl and FastDigestenzyme 1µL were conducted at 37°C in a total volume of 30 µl with 10 U/µl.

A GeneAmp 9700 thermocycler (Eppendorf) was used for all PCR amplifications. Digestion products were analyzed with agarose gel electrophoresis (2% agarose w/v with TBE 1buffer) and visualized by ethidium bromide staining, and the results were recorded.

**Classical testing for FORL and TYLCV Pathogenicity**

Classical testing were conducted on three genotypes (B26, B40, B178) from BATEM and four genotypes (A-31, A-41, A-48 A-66) from private sector were containing the 3 target resistant genes (FORL + TY1 + TY3).
Isolate, material supply and storage

The FORL isolate was obtained from Mersin greenhouse tomato plants and it was determined that it was a molecular (PCR) FORL isolate with high virulence, and the study was conducted with the FORL isolate coded Tarsus-0 (Tarsus / Mersin isolate) and stored at -20°C (Figure 1) (Colak and Bicici, 2011).

Figure 1. FORL and TYLCV symptoms in tomato plants

In the TYLCV tests; the isolate containing all three TYLCV strains obtained from Mersin greenhouse tomato fields was used as an inoculum source (Figure 1) (Fidan et al., 2011). Vector whiteflies (Bemisia tabaci) were procured from the collection regularly produced in Adana Biological Control Research Institute Directorate (BMAE).

Classical testing for FORL resistance

The FORL isolate was developed for 7 days in PDA and inoculated to 250 mL medium that contained 100 mL of PDB (Potato Dextrose Broth) and incubated for 10 days. Seedling root immersion method was used for FORL inoculation. For this purpose, the soil on 3-4 weeks old tomato seedlings were washed out, the roots were shaved and inoculated by immersion into 1x10^6 spores/ml FORL spore suspension for 4-5 min (Colak and Bicici, 2011). Then, the experiment was set up by planting 3 tomato seedlings from each FORL inoculated tomato line in 5-replicates into pots (15 × 15 cm) that contained sterilized peat:perlite (1:1). Experiments were set up based on random lots experimental design. The control plants were planted after immersion into sterile water. The genetic resistance to FORL disease is controlled by a single (Frl gene) dominant gene (Roberts et al., 2001). Thus, in FORL resistance tests, to determine the resistance of the lines, the plants were rooted, and the roots and vascular structures were examined, and the presence of the disease was recorded. The evaluation was conducted by observing the symptoms in the plants and browning on roots and root crowns and the scoring was conducted with a 0 - 4 scale, and resistant (0: symptom-free plants, no disease) and sensitive (>1: plants indicating symptoms, disease is present) plants were determined (Vakalounakis et al., 1997; Morid et al., 2012).

Classical testing for TYLCV resistance

Vector whiteflies (Bemisia tabaci) were used to infect the tomato plants in the experiment. In the cage studies, tomato plants infected with all three strains (TYLCV Israel, Mild and Sardinia strains) were used (Fidan et al., 2011). In the study, after the
actual second leaf stage of the plants in the cages, 30 whiteflies per plant were released to the cages. After the whiteflies fed for 48 hours after the release, the whiteflies were terminated using an insecticide. During infection, the suction damage to the plants was prevented. For this purpose, 20 pots with 20 cm diameter containing peat:perlite (1:1) were placed in the cages (80x100x160 cm), and the experiment was set up with 4 pots per line and 3 plants per pot based on the random lots experimental design. Observations were conducted when the symptoms were obvious on the plants 15 - 21 days after the experiment was set up and maintained for 3 weeks. The plants with disease symptoms were considered sensitive and those without symptoms were considered resistant in the experiment (Lapidot and Friedman, 2002).

All classic test experiments for FORL and TYLCV were conducted at of 26 ± 2°C temperatures, 60 – 70% relative humidity, 16 hours light - 8 hours dark conditions in a climate chamber at BMAE.

Greenhouse Experiments and Determination of Fruit Quality Properties

FORL and TYLCV resistant tomato genotype seedlings were grown in the greenhouses of institutions that possessed both resistant lines. The seedlings were planted in the greenhouse with 80 cm inter-row and 50 cm intra-row distances (2500 plants/da) on March 2, 2016 (BATEM) and February 24, 2016 (AYER). All basic maintenance procedures were conducted regularly throughout the experiment (Günay, 2005).

In the study, harvested tomato fruit mean weight (g/fruit/FMW), mean yield per plant (g/plant/MYP), total yield (kg/da/TY) and certain fruit quality measures were obtained after the initial harvest. In the greenhouses in both institutions, 10 fruits were randomly selected from each line and each lot in the third tomato harvest and fruit quality properties [fruit diameter (mm / FD) and height (mm / FH), fruit water soluble dry matter (% / WSDM), fruit titratable acidity (% / TA), vitamin C (L - Ascorbic Acid) content (mg / 100g / CV), pH of fruit juice, fruit juice EC measurement (ms / cm)] were determined (Guillén et al., 2006; Cemeroğlu, 2007). Fruit diameter (mm / FD): The diameter was measured at the equatorial region of the tomato fruit by using the digital caliper (Miyutoyo 500-181-30). Fruit height (mm / FH): The longitudinal section of the tomato fruit was measured by the digital caliper (Miyutoyo 500-181-30) for fruit height. Fruit water soluble dry matter (% / WSDM): The few drops of extracted tomato juice was measured by a hand refractometer (Atago, Tokyo, Japan) for WSDM. Fruit titratable acidity (% / TA): Titratable acidity was determined by titration of 5 ml tomato juice with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide to an endpoint of pH 8.1, results are presented as % citric acid. Vitamin C (L - Ascorbic Acid) content (mg / 100g / CV): For ascorbic acid content, tomatoes were ground with a warring blender and 5 g sample was mixed with 45 ml 0.4% oxalic acid and then filtered. One ml filtrate and 9 ml 2,6-Dichlorophenindophenol sodium salt solution (C_{12}H_{5}Cl_{2}NO_{2}-Na) mixed and then transmittance values 520 nm in a spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Lambada 850 UV/Vis). Results are expressed as mg 100 g^-1 (Ozdemir and Dundar, 2006). pH of fruit juice: The pH of the tomato juice was measured with WTW 315i model pH meter. Fruit juice EC measurement (ms /cm): The EC of the tomato juice was measured with WTW 315i model EC meter. At the end of the experiment, whether there were differences between the tomato genotypes based on the examined properties was determined with variance analysis of the obtained data using Jump software and the comparison of the means were conducted with LSD test.
Results

Determination of FORL and TYLCV resistance of tomato genotypes with molecular studies

PCR optimizations were conducted with FORL and TYLCV resistant and sensitive controls to determine the resistance of 418 tomato line samples that constituted the study material (Figures 2 and 3). The PCR study conducted on 196 pure tomato lines developed by BATEM breeding department with UBC 194 primer used in FORL resistance demonstrated that 60 tomato genotypes were found FORL resistant (Figure 2). Again, PCRs conducted with TY3 allele used for TYLCV resistance on the same samples demonstrated that 34 out of 196 samples were resistant of TY3. It was determined that 25 samples were resistant to TYLCV as a result of the PCR conducted with TY1 locus primers that are effective on TYLCV resistance. It was determined that 3 tomato lines coded B26, B40 and B178 were resistant to FORL and both strains of TYLCV (FORL + TY1 + TY3).

![Figure 2. PCR gel image with resistance and sensitive controls for FORL and TYLCV.](image)

As a result of the molecular studies, it was determined that 160 pure tomato lines belonging to private sector (AYER) were resistant to FORL of 42 line, 10 lines were TY1 resistant, and 12 lines were TY3 resistant. Four tomato lines, namely A31, A41, A48 and A66, were identified as resistant to all three (FORL + TY1 + TY3) among the 160 pure tomato lines belonging to Çukurova University were resistant to any of the three resistance resources. A total of 7 tomato lines were identified as resistant to both diseases (FORL + TY1 + TY3) as a result of the conducted PCR study at FORL resistance developed by Mutlu et al. (2015) with SCAR_Frl confirming the findings by Mutlu et al. 2015 (Figures 3 and 4). It was suggested that this new primer, used in resistant lines and confirmed with classical testing in the present study, was efficient in determination of FORL resistance and could safely be used in future breeding studies.
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Classical testing results for FORL and TYLCV resistance

In classical testing for FORL resistance, the two-repeat experiments were set up with B26, B40 and B178 tomato genotypes from BATEM Institute and A31, A41, A48 and A66 tomato genotypes from AYER in BMAE controlled climate rooms. The experiments were terminated after 20 days, when foliage wilting and turgor loss, slowed growth, marked blackening and deaths in the root and root crown were observed as a result of FORL agent inoculation in the control plants (Hazera 5656 / FORL sensitive).
It was determined that there were no symptoms of FORL induced crown and rot-root disease in all tomato genotypes in the experiment group as a result of the plant and root assessments (Figure 5).

![FORL](image)

**Figure 5. Classical test study of A-31 and B-40 genotypes from resistant tomato lines of FORL disease**

In classical TYLCV resistance testing studies; whiteflies fed on the plants infected with three TYLCV strains were collected with infusion tube after 2 days and released onto the tomato genotypes. TYLCV-specific symptoms such as yellowing on leaf edges and shrinkage of leaves, contraction in the leaves were observed and the leaves curled upwards starting from the margins on the 16-18th day of the experiment in sensitive control Hazera 5656 plants planted in addition to resistant line and varieties in each cage (Figure 6). The 7 tomato lines belonging to AYER and BATEM that were determined as TYLCV-resistant in molecular studies did not exhibit symptoms in classical testing cage study conducted with whitefly inoculation, thus both findings were confirmed. The use of molecular markers in development of disease resistance provides great advantages in terms of time, cost and reliability (Agrama and Scott, 2006; Anbinder et al., 2009; Ji et al., 2009). It is not possible to test hundreds of lines accurately and reliably with classical methods or to determine the inheritance of resistance (heterozygote or homozygote resistance).

In classical testing of resistant genotypes, breeders determine TYLCV resistance as the natural infection occurs in the fields for non-mechanical vector TYLCV. If molecular marker selection is not implemented in this viral disease with the sole vector of whiteflies, it is not always possible to wait for the natural infections through whiteflies in the field, and then conduct the analysis and it is not an accurate and reliable method (Verlaan et al., 2013). Because, it is not possible to understand whether the plants that appear resistant were exposed to the viral infection or whether they were infected by the whiteflies, but do not exhibit symptoms due to their resistance.
Furthermore, it is not possible to set up experiments with whiteflies for hundreds of breeding lines. In the present study, the use of molecular markers reduced the number of genotypes from 418 to 7 tomato genotypes (B26, B40, B178, A31, A41, A48 and A66) that were resistant to all three factors (FORL+TY1+TY3), making it easier and more economic to verify these findings with classical tests. Although molecular markers provide a great advantage in terms of speed and cost, classic validation tests should be performed (Lee et al., 2015). This is due to the fact that it is important to perform classical validation tests (smytomatologic) in determination of MAS-selective resistance, which taking the distances between the developed markers and the gene and human and marker-based errors into consideration (Caro et al., 2015; Scott et al., 2015).

**Figure 6.** The release of white flies collected on TYLCV infected plants in special cages for tomato lines taken to the TYLCV resistance test and classical test study of A-31 and B-40 genotypes from resistant tomato lines of TYLCV disease

**Determination of the yield and certain fruit quality properties in disease resistant tomato genotypes**

In order to determine the yield and certain fruit quality properties of FORL and TYLCV resistant tomato genotypes, greenhouse experiments were set up in Antalya. Fruit harvest was initiated when tomato fruits ripened and turned red. Eight harvests were conducted in BATEM greenhouses and 6 harvests were conducted in AYER greenhouses. The tomato fruit yield and certain fruit quality property data throughout the cultivation season are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

The average yield values per plant (g/plant) and decare yield values (kg/da) were found to be statistically significant in 7 tomato genotypes determined to be resistant to FORL and TYLCV belonging to Ayer and BATEM. Among the tomato genotypes belonging to Ayer, the per plant yield ranged between 1101.8 and 2258.6 g/plant and
the per decare yield ranged between 2754.4 and 5646.6 kg/da, the per plant yield, for tomato genotypes belonging to BATEM varied between 1206 and 2922 g/plant and per decare yield varied between 3015 and 7304.7 kg/da (Tables 2 and 3). In previous studies on tomato yield, it was reported that genotypes might have different responses based on their locations, climate conditions, and plant nutrition (Şen et al., 2004; Ozbay and Atėş, 2015; Demirtaş et al., 2016). The highest mean fruit weight was observed in A-48 (166.07 g/fruit) genotype among the four Ayer tomato varieties. The highest mean fruit weight was obtained in B26 (163.57 g/fruit) genotype, while the lowest mean fruit weight was obtained in B178 (98.70 g/fruit) genotype among the three tomato genotypes from BATEM. Although certain findings in the greenhouse experiments were parallel to various studies, certain differences could be observed due to varieties, ecological conditions, plant nutrition, differences in irrigation and harvest ripeness periods (Özdemir and Özer, 2016).

Table 2. Yield and some fruit quality characteristics of tomato genotypes belong to AYER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AYER</th>
<th>MYP (g/plant)</th>
<th>TY (kg/da)</th>
<th>FMV (g/fruit)</th>
<th>FD (mm)</th>
<th>FH (mm)</th>
<th>WSDM (%)</th>
<th>TA (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC (ms/cm)</th>
<th>CV (mg/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A31</td>
<td>2258.6 a</td>
<td>5646.6 a</td>
<td>106.5 c</td>
<td>54.08c</td>
<td>59.36a</td>
<td>4.4 b</td>
<td>0.46 a</td>
<td>4.6 c</td>
<td>4.5 a</td>
<td>22.54 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A41</td>
<td>1337.2 b</td>
<td>3343.0 b</td>
<td>129.93 b</td>
<td>63.90b</td>
<td>56.17b</td>
<td>3.4 d</td>
<td>0.37 b</td>
<td>5.2 a</td>
<td>4.6 a</td>
<td>25.02 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A48</td>
<td>1101.8 b</td>
<td>2754.4 b</td>
<td>166.07 a</td>
<td>70.49a</td>
<td>59.47a</td>
<td>4.7 a</td>
<td>0.24 d</td>
<td>5.1 b</td>
<td>4.5 a</td>
<td>23.53 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A66</td>
<td>2022.4 a</td>
<td>5055.9 a</td>
<td>98.67 d</td>
<td>56.42c</td>
<td>52.13 c</td>
<td>4.1 c</td>
<td>0.32 c</td>
<td>4.3 d</td>
<td>4.5 a</td>
<td>24.03 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lsd0.05</td>
<td>327.8</td>
<td>819.7</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Yield and some fruit quality characteristics of tomato genotypes belong to BATEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BATEM</th>
<th>MYP (g/plant)</th>
<th>TY (kg/da)</th>
<th>FMV (g/fruit)</th>
<th>FD (mm)</th>
<th>FH (mm)</th>
<th>WSDM (%)</th>
<th>TA (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC (ms/cm)</th>
<th>CV (mg/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B26</td>
<td>1206 c</td>
<td>3015.3 c</td>
<td>163.57 c</td>
<td>69.39 a</td>
<td>53.65 a</td>
<td>5.06 b</td>
<td>0.30 c</td>
<td>4.55 a</td>
<td>4.0 b</td>
<td>26.74 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B40</td>
<td>2922 a</td>
<td>7304.7 a</td>
<td>122.13 a</td>
<td>62.31 b</td>
<td>50.56 a</td>
<td>5.17 ab</td>
<td>0.39 a</td>
<td>4.47 a</td>
<td>4.5 a</td>
<td>25.87 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B178</td>
<td>2150 b</td>
<td>5375.3 b</td>
<td>98.70 b</td>
<td>56.73 b</td>
<td>52.54 a</td>
<td>5.40 a</td>
<td>0.35 b</td>
<td>4.50 a</td>
<td>3.9 b</td>
<td>27.83 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lsd0.05</td>
<td>327.3</td>
<td>818.3</td>
<td>20.34</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.1128</td>
<td>0.1153</td>
<td>5.293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The water-soluble dry matter content (WSDM) is an important quality criterion in tomato fruit, and it was determined that the highest WSDM content was in A-48 genotype with 4.7% among AYER tomato genotypes and the highest WSDM content was in B178 genotype with 5.40% among BATEM genotypes (Table 3). It was reported that the water soluble dry matter content in tomato fruits varies between 2.9 and 5.9% (Özbay et al., 2012). The titratable acidity (%) may vary based on the variety and ripeness period of the fruit in tomato fruit juice (Ozbay and Atėş, 2015). It was determined that the acidity rates varied between 0.46-0.24% in AYER tomato genotypes and between 0.30-0.39% in BATEM tomato genotypes.

It was found that the difference between pH values of AYER tomato genotypes was significant in the experiment and the highest pH was obtained with the A41 genotype (5.2), and the lowest pH was obtained with the A66 tomato genotype (4.3) (Table 2). BATEM tomato genotype pH values ranged between 4.47 and 4.55 (Bozköylü and Daşgan, 2010). There were no differences between the fruit quality properties of EC and
vitamin C content of AYER tomato genotypes. The highest EC and vitamin C values were obtained in the A41 genotype (4.6 ms/cm-25.02 mg/100 mg). The highest EC value was obtained in the B40 genotype with 4.5 ms/cm in among BATEM genotypes. All tested varieties exceeded the limit value of 8.4 mg/100 g for Vitamin C in tomatoes (Özbahçe and Padem, 2007).

Discussion

Using molecular markers in breeding studies, the choice of the closest of the gene in the primers used in disease resistance is important in terms of the reliability of the results. Since CAPS, SCAR and co-dominant SCAR primers, which are a further step from RAPD primers in determination of FORL resistance, were not developed or patented and published for this disease, several studies, similar to the present study, have used UBC 194 RAPD primers, which is closest to the gene, to determine FORL resistance (Fazio et al., 1999). However, the fact that the RAPD markers obtained in these studies were not reproducible, the difficulties in clear definition in agarose gel and the lack of inheritance of FORL resistance (heterozygous or homozygous) demonstrated that further studies should be conducted (Tanyolac and Akkale, 2010). For this purpose, Truong et al. (2011) developed RAPD markers and transformed these into SCAR and made these available for use in breeding experiments. Mutlu et al. (2015) developed the SCAR marker into a Co-dominant SCAR, obtaining the SCARFrl marker, at a distance of 0.016 cm to the gene and almost on top of the Frl gene, and which could demonstrate whether the inheritance is heterozygous or homozygous. It was determined in the present study once more that the co-dominant SCARFrl marker is reliable for determination of the heterozygous or homozygous status of inheritance in F2 and F3 populations in breeding studies, it can be accurately and easily identified in agarose gel, reduces the hybridization study costs, saves time and promotes rapid commercialization of the lines when compared to the previous FORL resistance markers.

In the study, seven genotypically resistant lines (B26, B40, B178, A31, A41, A48 and A66) against both TY1 and TY3 were infected with whiteflies to confirm the symptomatologic results of the markers in tomato plant. Infections demonstrated that primers of the genes that provide TY1 and TY3 resistance (Ty-1, JB1 and Ty-3, P6-25) could be used in resistance studies. It was reported that the TY1 gene was not very effective alone due to the presence of several TYLCV strains and controlled with a high number of genes (Zamir et al., 1994). It was reported that the TY3 marker could be used effectively in MAS since it is located on the 6th chromosome and close to the gene (0.3 cM), its Co-dominant SCAR characteristic, and could reflect heterozygous, homozygous resistant and sensitive genotypes concurrently with single PCR (Ji et al., 2007c). Although the TY4, TY5 and TY6 markers were developed since the development of TY3 marker, the present study confirmed that the most effective resistance was TY1 and TY3 resistance. The knowledge on the existing strains in Turkey and their resistance to the resistance markers and their effectiveness were determined and confirmed. The existence of different TYLCV strains, especially in Asia, revealed that different markers should be tested in these areas (Hutton et al., 2012). Israel and Mild strains of TYLCV are the most prevalent strains globally, and the TY1 and TY3 markers developed against these strains maintain the status of being the most used marker in breeding studies in the world (Lee et al., 2015).
Conclusion

In today's conditions, there is a need for resistance against at least 3 and more disease agents depending on the production site and time. As the number of required resistances increases, breeding period gets longer, and it could even become impossible. Thanks to the present study findings, resistance to significant biotic factors (FORL + TYLCV) were determined, saving time for the line owner organization in commercialization of multi-resistance seven tomato variety candidates. This study showed that both disease resistant and argonomic as the market value of the highest new kind of candidate (A31 and B40) by determined by line with the institution will contribute greatly to quickly pass the commercialization and market presentation stages. In this study, multiple markers were tested for a gene, for the determination of FORL resistance, UBC-194 and SCARFr1 markers, TY1 and TY3 markers for TYLCV resistance have been confirmed. The results of the study will reveal the possibility that molecular markers used in the development of resistant lines and varieties of these diseases may be an alternative to classical testing and will shed light on future studies. Furthermore, with the determination of the parents who will be used as father or mother and resistant to one of the FORL and TYLCV disease, it became possible to design alternatives projects (F1 hybrid) to obtain new varieties based on the globally prominent fruit quality criterion requirements.
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