Determination of Load for Quasi-static Calculations of Railway Track Stress-strain State
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Abstract: When calculating the railway track stress-strain state one usually assumes that total strains are brought immediately from applied load and the process dynamics is taken into account by the respective levels of design force. The dynamic component of the design force depends on various factors that are not always taken into account to the full. The analytical analysis of the calculation methods and the experiment testing data resulted in the following recommendations: for freight trains, especially in the conditions of soft rail support, it is advisable to take into account the effect of adjacent wheels; for modern passenger cars there is no significant load dependence on speed, and the main factor of dynamic component is the track fluctuations.
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1. Introduction

Depending on the problem to be solved, one can use both relatively simple two-dimensional design models and developed models, which are described with the systems including dozens of equations. Despite the fact that this refers to the interaction of track and rolling stock, still the problems focused on the rolling stock study, and those focused on the railway track study have fundamental differences. Rolling stock models are, in most cases, the systems of motion (vibrations) of the interconnected solids. Typically, such models are mathematically described by Lagrange equations. Railway track operation is more naturally described not as motion of solids, but the strains thereof. Therefore, the railway track is more often mathematically described by the models based directly on theory of elasticity or its numerical representations in the form of finite element method and others. The combination of different approaches in one model greatly complicates their creation and subsequent application so is impractical for most tasks.

Thus, the railway track models in most cases come to a system of bodies (or layers) with elastic strain under load. These models, as a rule, are quasi-static: one of the main
conditions at their creation is that the strain caused by the applied load are brought immediately and in full constant volume (as during static load), and the process dynamics is calculated by the respective levels of the applied load, with addition of the necessary complements to its static value.

Today, there are various methods allowing determination of track external load design values. The purpose of this work is to analyse these methods, to study the results of experimental testing of modern rolling stock action on track and to provide recommendations for their use.

2. Methods for determining the design force acting on the rail

2.1. Probabilistic approach using statistical population of dynamic complements

A probabilistic approach using statistical population of dynamic complements is the base one to determine the wheel design force acting on the rail in order to perform the so-called “Track strength and stability calculations”. This calculation is the official method in Ukraine [1] and some other countries. It is used to solve such problems as determination of stresses and strains in the railway track elements caused by the rolling stock impact, determination of the required strength of permanent way for the set operation conditions, determination of operation conditions for the set track design (including allowable speeds in terms of strength and temperature mode of continuous welded rail operation), etc.

The calculation basis is the hypothesis that the wheel force acting on the rail has probabilistic nature and is subject to the law of Gaussian distribution. The example of the respective distribution curve is presented in the work [2], is shown in Fig. 1. The result is referred to the rail stress values observed for the same wheel load at the same speed.

![Figure 1. Probability of rail stress distribution [2]: a – distribution histogram; b – distribution polygon; c – frequency polygon; d – Gaussian distribution curve](image-url)
Most often there is a mean wheel force acting on the rail, but there can be both larger and smaller values. It is assumed that the design force is determined by the formula [1…3]:

\[ Q_{\text{dyn}} = \overline{Q} + \lambda S, \]  

where

- \( Q_{\text{dyn}} \): calculated (dynamic) value of force;
- \( \overline{Q} \): mean value of force;
- \( \lambda \): probability factor, is taken \( \lambda = 2.5 \), corresponding to the non-exceedance probability of design force 0.994;
- \( S \): root-mean-square deviation of force.

Mean value of dynamic force is made up of static load (vehicle weight referred to one wheel \( Q_{\text{stat}} \)) and the sum of mean values of dynamic complements \( \overline{Q}_i \):

\[ \overline{Q} = Q_{\text{stat}} + \sum \overline{Q}_i. \]  

Total root mean square deviation consists of the geometric sum of the root-mean-square deviations of dynamic complements \( S_i \):

\[ S = \sqrt{\sum S_i^2}. \]  

Thus, each dynamic component is presented as the composition of its mean and root-mean-square deviation. As dynamic complements the following forces are taken into account: additional force due to vehicle bolster structure vibrations, inertial force due to wheel movement on the track bumps, inertial force of unsprung part from isolated irregularities on the wheel and inertial force of unsprung part from continuous irregularities on the wheel. The method of calculation of these dynamic complements is a set of analytical equations and approximations of experimental data. It is presented in the works [1…3] and others.

Thus, the considered approach makes it possible to determine the design force value taking into account the speed of movement and some key parameters associated with the design and the condition of railway track and rolling stock.

### 2.2. Determination of dynamic force through static load

The dynamic load of the track can be calculated from the static loads [4]:

\[ Q_{\text{dyn}} = Q_{\text{stat}} + t \cdot \overline{s} \cdot Q_{\text{stat}}, \]  

\[ \overline{s} = n \cdot \varphi, \]
\[ \varphi = 1 + \frac{V - 60}{140}, \]  

where

- \( t \): distribution factor, if \( t = 3 \) the accuracy of calculation is 99.7% ;
- \( n \): 0.1...0.3 (depends on the condition of track);
- \( \varphi \): speed factor (for speeds up to 60 km/h \( \varphi = 1 \) [5]);
- \( V \): speed in km/h.

The equation (6) may have a different look, for example, to take into account the type of the train (passenger or cargo) [5].

Examples of similar calculations: model for determination of the stress distribution in the longitudinal direction of the track [6]; the dynamic train loading was converted into an equivalent creep stress, using an equivalent static force method [7]; the investigation was aimed at static and dynamic load rating of aged railway concrete sleepers after service [8].

### 2.3. Calculation of adjacent wheels action

Wheels, located next to the calculated one, especially within the bogie, can affect the load level on the track. According to [1] such effect must be taken into account.

The basis is the known differential equation for rail deflection on equielastic support, used in many works, for example [2, 3, 9]:

\[ \frac{d^4 z}{dx^4} + \frac{U}{EI} z = 0, \]  

where

- \( z \): vertical rail deflection;
- \( x \): distance on rail from the force application point;
- \( U \): modulus of rail support elasticity in the vertical plane;
- \( E \): modulus of rail steel elasticity;
- \( I \): moment of rail inertia.

Solution of the equation (7) will be the rail lengthwise deflection function

\[ z(x) = \frac{Qk}{2U} e^{-kx} (\cos kx + \sin kx), \]  

\[ k = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{U}{4EI}}, \]
where

\[ Q : \text{load taken for calculation} \]

Reverse solving of the equation (7) allows finding the effect of the force at a distance “\( x \)” on the track design section. The method of “Track strength and stability calculations” [1] recommends transition from the design force to the equivalent one. The action of such a force must be equivalent to the load caused by the combination of several wheels. Given that the stress dependence on the distance to the force application point for rails and rail support is different, one determines two equivalent forces. The first equivalent force (\( Q_{ekv}^I \)) is used to determine the bending moment and the stress in the rail, the second one (\( Q_{ekv}^{II} \)) – to determine the rail deflection and the stress in the rail support elements (in sleepers, ballast, roadbed):

\[
Q_{ekv}^I = Q_{dyn} + \sum \tilde{Q} \mu_i, \quad (10)
\]

\[
Q_{ekv}^{II} = P_{dyn} + \sum \tilde{Q} \eta_i, \quad (11)
\]

\[
\mu_i = e^{-kx_i} (\cos kx_i - \sin kx_i), \quad (12)
\]

\[
\eta_i = e^{-kx_i} (\cos kx_i + \sin kx_i), \quad (13)
\]

The formulas (10) and (11) are presented in the form meeting the hypothesis that the calculated wheel (which coincides with the calculated track section) transmits the calculated (dynamic) value of the force, and all other wheels – the mean value. Example of the variant, when the calculated wheel is the middle wheel of a three-axle bogie, is shown in Fig. 2 [3].

**Figure 2. Load from three-axle bogie [4]: a – diagram of three-axle bogie; b – factor influence line \( \eta(x) \); c – factor influence line \( \mu(x) \)**
3. Experimental research of modern passenger train effect on the track

The purpose of experimental research was practical assessment of dynamic effect on the track caused by the modern passenger trains to be operated in Ukraine with a fairly high speed. The experimental trials covered Talgo and Skoda trains. Talgo train consisted of KZ4A locomotive and articulated passenger cars adjacent to uniaxial bogies. Skoda train consisted of motor and towed cars separately resting on two-axle bogies. The diagrams of the experimental trains are shown in Fig. 3 and 4.

![Figure 3. Diagram of experimental Talgo train](image)

![Figure 4. Diagram of experimental Skoda train](image)

Experimental tests occurred on straight sections of the track without swerves requiring speed limit. The permanent way of both experimental sections was consisted of continuous welded rail R65, concrete sleepers, crushed stone ballast (including sub-ballast) of min 60 cm below the sleepers. Maximum speed was 176 and 200 km/h for Skoda and Talgo trains accordingly.

Organization and experiments were conducted by "Railway Track Testing Laboratory" of the Dnepropetrovsk National University of Railway Transport.

Some of the main indicators measured during the experimental train passing along the tested section were the rail stresses in several sections on top, web and base. Example of strain-gauge transducer installation in the rail section is shown in Fig. 5, example of stress record from the software window, which processed the data [10], – in Fig. 6.

The value of wheel vertical force acting on the rail was calculated based on the rail stress measurement results:

\[
Q = 4kW \sigma ,
\]

where

- \( W \): rail moment resistance;
- \( \sigma \): semi-sum of stresses, measured on the outside and the inside edge of the rail base.
The results of rolling stock unit weighing allowed receiving the static load level. Processing of statistic data showed sufficient compliance of resulting distribution of vertical force values with Gauss’ law, Fig. 7. For each speed level we determined the mean value and the root-mean-square deviation of dynamic force. Some tasks of strain accumulation process modelling and other demand not only the most probabilistic value of the force, but also a range of its possible values. And to assess the wheel stability it is appropriate to conduct the calculations taking into account the probabilistic minimum value of vertical force. The design dynamic force was determined as the maximum (minimum) one with increase (decrease) probability of 0.994:

\[ Q_{\text{dyn}} = \bar{Q} \pm 2.5S. \] (15)
The data processing results are shown in Fig. 8…11. For visual analysis, they are presented in the same horizontal and vertical scale.
Figure 9. Vertical forces by experimental research on Talgo passenger train (for a car)

Figure 10. Vertical forces by experimental research on Skoda passenger train (for a motor car)
4. Analysis of factors affecting the value of dynamic force

4.1. Effect of bogie design and rail support elasticity

In most cases the modern passenger and freight cars have two-axle bogies, and the locomotives have three or two-axle ones. Some methods of determining the rolling stock force acting on the track require taking into account of the bogie second (third) wheel effect, while the others ignore it.

The analysis of such effect was performed based on the formulas (10…13). The result depends mainly on two factors: distance from the calculated track section to the wheel and elastic modulus of the rail support. Particular attention was paid to the following groups of rolling stock: freight cars on CNII-H3-0 bogies with 185 cm axle base; locomotives with 210 cm axle base (such as 2TE10, M62 and others); passenger cars on KVZ-CNNI bogies with 240 cm axle base. As a rule, the locomotives with small axle base have three-axle bogies; in this case the track section under the middle axis is subject to the double additional load caused by outermost wheels.

The average values of the wheel effect on the track depending on the distance are shown in Fig. 12. As it can be seen, the pressure on the rail (correspondingly the rail section bending moment and the rail stress) away from the calculated section decreases rapidly and even enters the unloading area. Thus, when solving the rail stress tasks, the adjacent wheel effect can be neglected. However, the pressure on rail support (accordingly the rail deflection, the stress in sleeper, ballast, roadbed) may increase by more than 5% for freight cars and locomotives with a small rigid wheelbase (for the latter ones the results shown in Fig. 12 may be doubled for a three-axle bogie). For areas with low modulus of rail support elasticity the pressure increase on rail support may be significant, for example, up to 15% at 20 MPa modulus of elasticity.
4.2. Effect of speed

Modern passenger cars have significantly improved dynamic performance, primarily due to the transition from mechanical to hydraulic spring systems with automated control. This approach prevents from the dynamic load growth caused by speed increase. This conclusion is supported by experimental research conducted by the author. Thus, for the locomotive we observe almost linear dependence of maximum probabilistic force on the speed (Fig. 8) that corresponds to calculations according to the existing methods [1, 4]. For motorless cars such force remains without significant
changes even for high speeds (Fig. 9, 11). Similar conclusions for other types of rolling stock were obtained in the works [19, 20].

Even without expressed speed-dependence the vertical force dynamic component for passenger cars is evident. According to [1, 2, 3] the main factors of the dynamic component are vehicle bolster structure vibrations and track vibrations due to its elastic properties and geometric irregularities.

Accordingly, to the experimental rail stress measurements for Talgo train was conducted factor variance analysis in order to obtain the numerical characteristics of various factors affecting the value of wheel vertical force acting on rail. Thus, the effect of the axle number was analysed (examined 8 axles of cars with approximately equal static load), which describes the effect of the structure and the condition of rolling stock. Also the work analysed the influence of rail section point (examined 8 sections with different distances on the area without sufficient swerves), which describes the effect of the wheel motion on track dynamic bumps that arise from rail vibrations. The total number of measurements in the observability matrix varied for different speed levels. The smallest number (for speed of 200 km/h) amounted to 560 values. For different speed values the assessment results of the considered factors effect do not change fundamentally. For the range of 40…200 km/h we obtained the effect degree (by F-test) of the car axle number at 1.7; the effect degree of the rail section number –120.0 while the level of F-test critical value for considered samples equals 2.02.

The performed statistical analysis confirms numerically that the body vibrations in modern passenger cars are efficiently damped and do not lead to a significant increase in wheel vertical pressure force on rail. The main dynamic force perturbation factor can be considered as the wheel passing over the dynamic track bumps, which appears even in the absence of significant geometrical irregularities due to the rail vibrations on elastic rail support.

5. Summary

The determination of dynamic force for stress and strain calculations in the rail support elements caused by freight cars or locomotives with the axle base of less than 230 cm, especially for the track with modulus of rail support elasticity of up to 50 MPa, should take into account the additional pressure caused by the adjacent wheels. In other cases, the effect of the adjacent wheel is not essential. For modern passenger trains the level of the vertical force dynamic value does not depend on speed. The main factor for its determination should be the track vibrations as a result of its elastic properties and the presence of irregularities.
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