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Abstract

This article analyzes the role of civil society in promoting democracy and good governance in Africa. It begins by exploring the debate about what constitutes civil society in Africa. The article argues that the conventional notion of civil society, which restricts its constitutive elements to only western-type NGOs, is not useful for the analysis of civil society in Africa. Such notion of civil society undermines the contributions of traditional organizations to the deepening of democracy and good governance in the continent. This article adopts an expanded notion of civil society that allows for the analysis of the role of both traditional and modern organizations in Africa. It shows the contributions of civil society to democracy and good governance in Africa, focusing on the struggles for decolonization and demilitarization, the promotion of social justice, state performance, popular participation in policymaking and transparency in governance.

1. Introduction

In the past two decades, the idea of civil society has attracted tremendous attention in political and developmental discourse. This is because political theory presents civil society as a crucial agent for promoting democracy and development. This article examines the role of civil society in promoting democracy and good governance in Africa. In order to lay a strong theoretical background for the analysis, the article begins by exploring the debate about what constitutes the civil society in Africa. Although the term civil society is widely used in academic and policy circles, it has yet to acquire a commonly accepted meaning. Definitions of civil society are bewilderingly diverse and the differences between them are often rooted in alternative social
and political philosophies\(^1\). Political theorists of Greek, Roman, Liberal, and Marxist backgrounds have attempted to conceptualize civil society. These scholars explore the complexity of the concept, showing different dimensions of civil society such as the material (Hegel, Marx and Engels), organizational (de Tocqueville and Ferguson), and ideological (Gramsci and Havel). Debates about the historical and theoretical foundations of the concept of civil society reverberate in contemporary analyses\(^2\).

The views emerging from these discussions focus on the preconditions for the composition of the civil society. Here, one notion of civil society seems dominant. This notion is rooted in the Western tradition of liberal-democratic theory, which identifies civic organizations such as advocacy groups in Europe and the United States of America as the main elements of the civil society\(^3\). A number of Western intellectuals and donor agencies that tend to use the terms “NGO” and “civil society” interchangeably have popularized this notion of civil society. These individuals and institutions see civil society as an important component of the political project of building and consolidating democracy around the world. They believe that efforts to globalize democracy must be accompanied by the creation and strengthening of civil society in places like Africa where it is either non-existent or at a nascent stage. The global civil society network CIVICUS, for instance, aims to “help advance regional, national and international initiatives to strengthen the capacity of

---

3 These groups are devoted mainly to public interest causes – human rights, the environment, gender inequality, and democracy promotion.
civil society"4. Scholars refer to this Western perception of civil society as the conventional notion of civil society5.

2. The Conventional Notion of Civil Society

The individuals and institutions that propagate the conventional notion of civil society restrict its constitutive elements to formal civic organizations and highlight the organizational aspects of civil society6. They argue that civil organizations must meet specific criteria, including7:
1. Autonomy from both social interests and the state.
2. Capacity for collective action that promotes interests or passions.
3. Absence of an intention to govern the polity.
4. Agreement to act within civil rules ‘conveying mutual respect’.

The criteria also include the idea that “pronouncedly hierarchical associations do not qualify as civil associations because they are not internally democratic”8.

The conventional notion of civil society views civic associations as organizations with formal structure that would permit the pursuit of specific civic interests. In other words, civic organizations include only those organizations that “agree to act within pre-established rules of a ‘civil’ nature; that is, conveying mutual respect”9. The organizations must eschew violence, respect pluralism, the law and other actors. Lawrence Whitehead extends this moral dimension arguing that “civil” should be construed as

4 See CIVICUS website: www.civicus.org.
6 The organizational aspects of the civil society include voluntarism, independent associational life and community spirit.
“civility”\textsuperscript{10}. In other words, civic organizations should act not only within constraints of legal or pre-established rules, but also with a sense of respect for the opinion and feelings of others.

The above criteria narrow the concept of civil society, allowing for the predominance of what I call the “political conception of civil society”\textsuperscript{11}. The political conception of civil society stems from the conventional notion of civil society. It limits the constitutive elements of the civil society only to “civic” organizations that pursue public interest through “civil” means. Thus, the key issues underlying civil society include the idea of civility, voluntarism, economic freedom, citizenship, rights, rule of law and democratic representation.

The political conception of civil society excludes traditional organizations in the mainstream of African public space. This is due to the inability of these organizations to measure up to the “civility” criteria. African “associational life” is most often made up of “ascriptive groupings rather than voluntary ones, and that may be entwined with the state and ravaged by outside forces (ethnicity, sectarianism, etc)”\textsuperscript{12}. Peter Ekeh notes that:

\begin{quote}
The problem confronting the successful adoption of the elements of civil society in Africa concerns the relationship between individuals and kinship...Kinship will continue to be relevant in the lives of millions of Africans who are either threatened by the state or else ignored by its agencies. Yet kinship distorts the expansiveness and universalism of civil society. Civil society requires that the worth of the unique individual be recognized beyond his or her ethnic group\textsuperscript{13}.
\end{quote}

Some scholars argue that ascriptive organizations such as communal and religious associations tend to undermine the civil

\begin{flushright}
\begin{footnotes}


\textsuperscript{12} Rooy A. Van, \textit{Civil Society and the Aid Industry} (London: Earthscan, 1998: 22)

\end{footnotes}
\end{flushright}
society. In order to save African civil society from the destructive activities of the ascriptive organizations, institutions like the World Bank, USAID, and INTRAC are deeply involved in efforts to build and strengthen the African civil society. They pursue this task within the overall framework of democracy promotion. These institutions justify their activities by maintaining that formal organizational activity in the United States and Western Europe has taught its members democratic skills and promoted interests which governments might otherwise overlook. As such, they argue that the development of civil society organizations is very crucial in Africa in order to promote democracy and good governance. Since Africa is faced with the challenges of state failure and democratic disappointments, many Africans tend to embrace this conception of civil society.

The problems with the conventional notion of civil society are numerous. First of all, attempts to analyze African civil society based on this notion leads one to an empirically difficult task of deciding which civic organizations are truly “civil” as opposed to those that may be classified as “pre-civil”, “uncivil” or “anti-civil”. Secondly, because many traditional organizations in Africa are excluded from the civil society, most parts of Africa’s rich associational life are left out in the analysis of the continent’s civil society. Finally, excluding some traditional organizations from the African civil society results in the exclusion of the interests they represent. This makes the claim that civil society produces democracy contemptuous. If democracy means the opportunity for universal citizen participation in governance, one wonders the sort of democracy that can be fashioned by organizations that explicitly do not represent issues of interest to a large number of citizens. Considering the shortcomings of the conventional notion

of civil society, the next section presents an alternative notion of civil society that would be useful in analyzing the role of civil society in democracy and good governance.

3. Looking at Civil Society Differently

The core problem of the conventional notion of civil society is that it excludes traditional organizations in Africa from the analysis of the civil society. Since the success of democratization and good governance depends on popular consent or broad acceptance of the process of acquiring and utilizing power, it is imperative for civil society organizations to have deep social roots in the society. Indeed, some African rulers have enormous power to manipulate the democratic process because they represent the interests of the strong social forces that benefit from their regime. Therefore, the prodemocracy groups that oppose these rulers must also have strong roots in the society. Although donor driven NGOs play important roles in Africa, they have tenuous ties with the citizens\textsuperscript{16}. As such, the struggle for democracy and good governance in Africa cannot be sustained through the sole effort of these NGOs.

Because donor driven NGOs cannot sustain the struggle for democracy and good governance in Africa, there is a need to reexamine the exclusion of traditional African organizations from the analysis of civil society. This is especially important because it is not in all cases that these organizations are unwilling to accept rules of “civility”, compromises and democratic decisions. For instance, if communal and religious organizations perceive that state institutions offer them opportunities for rewards in the future, they may be more likely to make compromises and follow the “rules of the game”. It is loss of faith in the ability of state institutions to protect their interests that push these organizations into “uncivil” behaviors\textsuperscript{17}. Therefore, “uncivil” behaviors depend on the failure of the state to reconcile various special interests in the society. In order to have a clear understanding of the role of civil society in promoting democracy


and good governance in Africa, it is essential to widen the concept of civil society. Considering that traditional associations such as religious, communal, and occupational associations have a genuine base in Africa, it is useful to include them in the analysis of civil society in the continent. In order to examine the contributions of traditional civil society organization to democracy and good governance in Africa, I would adopt what I call the “sociological conception of civil society”.

The sociological conception of civil society expands the concept of civil society to include a wide range of societal groups. It sees the civil society as a realm composed of all sorts of associations and organizations that exist outside of the state and market, and working to protect “collective” interests. It includes the gamut of organizations that political scientists traditionally label interest groups – advocacy NGOs, labor unions, professional associations, chambers of commerce, communal associations, students' unions, cultural associations, and sports clubs. The sociological conception of civil society is useful for the analysis of civil society organizations in Africa because it permits the combination of what Peter Ekeh described as a ‘civic public realm’ and ‘primordial public realm’\(^\text{18}\). The civic and primordial public realms encompass both traditional and modern civil society organizations.

Based on the above, my conception of African civil society recognizes all groups outside the ambit of the state and market as civil society organizations. This notion of the civil society is based on a tripartite view of the society, which recognizes the existence of three sectors in the society - the state, market and civil society\(^\text{19}\). Each of the sectors function to make and enforce laws, provide avenue for exchange of goods and services, and serve as arena for debate and common endeavor, respectively. Different organizational forms have evolved fitting into the specific conditions of each of the sectors. The government and its agencies are the organizational form of the state. The firms,

\(^{18}\) Ekeh argued that one of the most important legacies of colonialism in Africa is the emergence of two public realms – the primordial and civic realms. The primordial realm is based on cultures and traditions of the people, while the civic realm is associated with colonial rule and the penetration of Western culture. See Peter Ekeh, "Colonialism and the Two Publics in Africa: A Theoretical Statement" *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, vol. 17, No. 1 (1975): 91-112.

\(^{19}\) Jorgensen, “What Are NGOs Doing in Civil Society?” 36-37.
enterprises or corporations are the organizational form of the market. The corresponding organizational form of the civil society is the public benefit and mutual benefit organizations.

On one hand, public benefit organizations are set up to serve the common interest of society, and their mission is based on common perceptions and values of self-selected citizens who are assumed to be public spirited. Those who govern the organizations are accountable to their governance structure, and not to those who benefit from their services. The organizations may act in the interests of certain groups in society, but do not necessarily have a mandate to be their representatives. Examples of public benefit organizations include philanthropic organizations, civic organizations, advocacy groups, as well as welfare and developmental organizations. The mutual benefit organizations, on the other hand, are formed to benefit its members. These organizations include cooperative societies, trade unions, communal associations, and professional associations. Mutual benefit organizations are in principle formed by and accountable to its members. If members do not like what the leadership of the organization is doing, they are at liberty to change it. The beneficiaries of the organization are the same as those responsible for the governance of the organization; this is what distinguishes mutual benefit from public benefit organizations.

But generally, organizations in the civil society create room for debate on the direction of social development and make it possible for people to influence and control both the state and market. They also:

...supplement political parties as varied and flexible mechanism through which citizens define and articulate a broad range of interests, meet local needs, and make demands on the government... provide training grounds for democratic citizenship, develop the political skills of their members, recruit new political leaders, stimulate political participation, and educate the broader public on a wide variety of public interest issues...serve as checks on the relentless tendency of the state to centralize its powers and evade civic accountability and control20.

They provide a platform for citizens of a political community to take measures and act for what they think is beneficial to the entire community. With regards to democracy and good governance, the basis for participation in civil society organizations is the right of each individual to participate in societal affairs, and the recognition that periodic elections and referenda, if properly conducted or held at all, are insufficient channels for popular participation in governance. In the following sections, I will apply my conception of the civil society to the analysis of the contributions of civil society to the promotion of democracy and good governance in Africa. The analysis will draw largely from the Nigerian experience.

4. Civil Society and Democracy in Africa

This section adopts the sociological conception of civil society outlined above in the analysis of the contributions of civil society to the process of democratization in Africa. The dawn of civil society activity in Africa preceded the advent of the colonial state. Civil society in pre-colonial Africa was organized around age-grades, women, youth, and brotherhood associations. These traditional organizations provided the impetus for the emergence of new forms of voluntary associations during the colonial period (for instance, hometown associations, labor unions, and professional associations). The repressive and disruptive nature of the colonial state galvanized the transformation of African civil society. The colonial state was janus-faced. On one side, the state governed a racially defined citizenry, bounded by rule of law and an associated regime of rights. On the other side, the state ruled over subjects that were under a regime of political and economic coercion. Consequently, individuals who belonged to the latter category organized themselves and began to confront the state (symbolized by the native authorities and the colonial administration). Many voluntary associations became openly political – offering the people a voice in their opposition to the indignities of colonial rule and demands for self-determination.

21 Mahmood Mamdani, *Citizens and Subjects: Contemporary Africa and the legacy of Late Colonialism.* (Ibadan: John Archers, 2002).

The quest for democracy and good governance is thus, the core element behind the growth of civil society activity in Africa. The civil society’s contributions to democratization in Africa during the colonial and post-colonial periods center on the struggle for decolonialization and demilitarization.

4.1 Civil society and the struggle for decolonialization

In various parts of Africa, civil society during the colonial era was made-up of mainly small associations, which were informal, minimally organized, and concerned mainly with confronting local authorities. Although some scholars argue that collective action during the colonial era does not constitute civil society activity - choosing to refer to it as mere “associational life”23, others insist that collective action against the colonial state constitutes civil society struggle24. Civil society organizations in colonial Africa had deep roots in the “primordial public realm” and constituted what is referred as the “grassroots civil society”25.

Movements of resistance against colonial penetration and occupation dominated civil society engagement in colonial Africa. The imposition of alien political, economic, and social arrangements provoked revolts from the local people. Civil society organizations were constituted to provide psychological and emotional outlets for the tension and frustration generated by colonialism and rapid cultural change. As colonialism progressed, the less organized local movements against the colonial authorities began to give way to the rise of a more organized nationalist movement. These developments marked the evolution of a strong mechanism for the aggregation and articulation of diverse local interests and sentiments into a broadly organized movement explicitly aimed at dislodging the authoritarian colonial state and achieving self-government.

Civil society in colonial Africa was actively involved in various forms of rural and urban protests against the colonial state. Usually precipitated and fed by specific grievances, these anti-

23 See Chazan, “Africa’s Democratic Challenge”.
colonial revolts were often territorially uncoordinated and haphazard in their occurrence. However, they reveal the tendency of the educated class residing in urban areas to mobilize and provoke uprisings in the interior areas as the 1918 Egba Uprising in Southwest Nigeria amply demonstrates. The uprisings also exposed the amazing capacity of the people to initiate and execute organized collective action that at times transcended clan and tribal boundaries, as shown by the Aba Women’s Riots of 1929 in Southeast Nigeria.

On several occasions during the colonial era, residents of major urban centers in Africa vigorously protested various oppressive and onerous actions of the colonial state. These rural and urban mass movements contributed tremendously in creating a synergy between grassroots civil society organizations and intermediate associations that operated mainly in the cities. But besides the rural-urban synergy, they were also a collaboration between educated elites and some Africans who were leaders of religious organizations. There are many examples of the explicit use of Christianity, Islam, and indigenous religions to promote the nationalist struggles. During the Zimbabwean liberation war, a close relationship developed between the nationalist guerrillas and many traditional Shona spirit mediums, drawing on the belief that the ancestral spirits were the defenders of the land. In Northern Nigeria, Ahmadu Bello constantly stressed the relationship between his brand of nationalism and his role as a crusader for Islam. While in Southern Nigeria, churches such as the United Native African Church and the African Church Incorporated seceded from white-dominated churches to enlist in the struggle against colonialism. The collaboration between

28 In Nigeria for example, measures such as the proposal in 1895 for a house and land tax on inhabitants of Lagos, the Land Acquisition Ordinance of 1908, and the introduction of Water Rate in 1908, all received a resounding opposition from the residents of Lagos. See Coleman, Nigeria.
leaders of the political and religious wings of the nationalist movement provided a notable link between religious rhetoric and the nationalist struggle. The activities of these separatist religious groups caused the colonialists serious apprehension, because of the level of intensity with which they fueled the undercurrent of frustration and grievance that ultimately found an outlet in political nationalism.

Meanwhile, the rise of local mass media organizations, the emergence of professional and community-based organizations, and the growth of the idea of trade unionism followed the growth of indigenous religious organizations. The mass media in particular made an outstanding impact on the development of African civil society during the colonial era. The proliferation of professional and community-based associations was also crucial to the growth of civil society in Africa, especially as they provided an avenue for the mobilization, recruitment and training of political leaders. The idea of collective action among wage-earning groups also became part of the African civil society and the decolonialization struggle. In Nigeria, this idea first took root in 1912 when African Clerks in the service of the colonial government organized under the Southern Nigeria Civil Service Union; the idea quickly became widespread. As members of new occupational groups became increasingly aware of the links that bound them together, organizational activity among them continued to expand. Obviously, the development of new economic forces and modes of production accelerated the tempo of social mobilization and opposition against the colonial state. The organizational activities generated by the struggle for decolonialization accelerated the development of African civil

---


33 In Nigeria for example, the Lagos Weekly Record was about the earliest newspaper established. The Record was very bold and active in the fight against colonialism. It was noted that: “[T]he record was so powerful that at one time, on the account of its uncompromising attitude in the national interest, all foreign advertisements were withdrawn, but it stood its ground unflinchingly...” (cited in Coleman, Nigeria, 185).

34 See Coleman, Nigeria.
society and also played a significant role in the exit of colonial administrations.

4.2 Civil society and the struggle for demilitarization

Political independence preceded military intervention in the politics of many African countries. As a result, the focus of civil society activity in these countries shifted towards mobilizing popular resistance against military dictatorship. Moreover, the economic difficulties arising from bad governance and the restrictions imposed by the military on human freedom combined to encourage mass mobilization, political organization and political activism in many African countries. These factors also encouraged the creation of new civil society organizations and the strengthening of the existing ones. The strength and vibrancy of the civil society compelled many African states to make occasional, though partial and reversible concessions to popular forces.

In post-colonial Africa, collective action and pressures to strengthen civil society and foster democracy have come mainly from students, intellectuals, workers, professionals, and more

recently, pro-democracy and human rights NGOs. The labor movement is perhaps the most important part of the post-colonial civil society in Africa. In Nigeria, for instance, the labor movement is the largest autonomous interest group. Like in many African countries, Nigerian workers are organized into a central trade union organization – the Nigeria Labour Congress (NLC), of which all industrial unions in the country are affiliated. The NLC forged strong linkages or coalition with students, intellectuals, and progressive professional associations like the Nigerian Bar Association (NBA) and the Nigerian Medical Association (NMA) in the struggle for democracy and good governance. The Congress has also on several occasions outlined alternative strategies for national development.

Since the 1980s, there has been a steady decline in the vibrancy of African civil society. This has resulted in the failure of civil society organizations to sustain their pressures for democratization. Analysts attribute this situation to several

Bangura, Intellectuals, Economic Reform and Social Change: Constraints and Opportunities in the Formation of a Nigerian Technocracy (Dakar: CODESRIA, 1994); and Attahiru Jega, Nigerian Academics under Military Rule (Stockholm: Department of Political Science, University of Stockholm, 1994).


factors of which economic consideration is the most notable\textsuperscript{43}. It is argued that the material bases of support for civil society organizations in African were eroded by the protracted economic crisis that gripped the continent from the early 1980s as well as the stringent neoliberal adjustment measures imposed with a view to resolving it. Consequently, many associations lost much of their organizational capacity to the extent that the state found them easy targets for co-optation or neutralization. The weakness of the private sector and the pervasive dependence on the state induced subservience toward state authority. Gyimah-Boadi notes that:

\begin{quote}
The middle-class professionals and intellectuals who run key public institutions tend to be understandably preoccupied with their own economic survival, which often prevents their institutions from helping civil society to checkmate state hegemony. Judges depend on government for their appointments and for their operational budgets, and have few opportunities for lucrative private practice should they resign [or lose their job]. They can scarcely afford to maintain a posture of strict independence. Private newspapers fear losing much-needed revenue from government advertisement...\textsuperscript{44}.
\end{quote}

Given the state dominance in the formal sector (especially in the areas of investment and employment), many organizations found it difficult to exist without receiving support from the government. Again, with the majority of the middle and working classes tied to government through employment, and the private sector dependent on government for contracts, subsidized credit, and other favors, the basis for individual and associational autonomy has become extremely weak.

The above situation notwithstanding, the civil society in Africa has managed to survive. Much of the lifeline to the contemporary African civil society has come from donor agencies and international civil society organizations. Both the donors and the NGOs assisted civil society organizations in Africa by offering


\textsuperscript{44} Gyimah-Boadi, “Civil Society in Africa,” 127-128.
them direct material and moral support. The enormous amount of aid that came from International NGOs such as the National Endowment for Democracy, Westminster Foundation for Democracy, and the Political-party Foundation was instrumental to the establishment and proliferation of prodemocracy and human rights NGOs in Africa. In Nigeria, for example, NGOs like the Civil Liberty Organization (CLO), Constitutional Rights Project (CRP), Committee for Defense of Human Rights (CDHR), and Campaign for Democracy (CD) were at the forefront of the struggle for democracy. These organizations were active during the administrations of Generals Ibrahim Babangida and Sani Abacha, following the annulment of the 12 June 1993 presidential election and the extension of military rule. They organized mass protests across the country, and printed and distributed hundreds of thousands of leaflets exposing corruption, lawlessness, and abuse of power by the military regime. The groups urged Nigerians to take a final stand against military dictatorship and subversion of popular will. The activities of the prodemocracy NGOs inspired the traditional organizations such as professional, communal and trade association, and together, they all came out against the military. For the first time in Nigeria’s post-civil war history, organizations in the civil society took measures that openly challenged the authority of the state and succeeded in mobilizing millions of Nigerians in both urban and rural areas, across ethnic, regional, religious, class, and gender lines, to defy the military and take a stand for democracy.

The democratic rebirth in Africa has changed the fundamental concern of the continent’s civil society. Civil society organizations are now shifting their focus from establishing institutions of democracy to securing the institutions. Indeed, democracy in Africa faces the threat of overthrow by anti-democratic forces.

In addition to the risk of relapse into authoritarian rule, democracy in Africa contends with a more insidious danger of non-performance, decay and possible retrogression into a hybrid regime somewhere between democracy and dictatorship. Consequently, the major challenge confronting the civil society in Africa is that of consolidating democracy and good governance.

5. African Civil Society and the Issue of Good Governance

The preceding discussion provides basic insights and understanding of the role of civil society in the enthronement of democracy in Africa. This section briefly examines the contributions of civil society to the promotion of good governance and consolidation of democracy. Good governance is an offshoot of democracy and it denotes responsive governance. Its hallmarks include the application of rule of law; the operation of a fair and efficient judicial system; the promotion of broad and popular involvement in political, social and economic processes; the development of the capacity to manage development; and the promotion of a culture of accountability and transparency in the management of public affairs.49 The contributions of the African civil society to the consolidation of democracy and good governance can be analyzed under four headings: promotion of social justice, rights and the rule of law; enhancing state performance; promotion of popular participation in public policy making; and promotion of transparency in governance.

5.1 Promotion of social justice, rights and the rule of law

The first major area where the contribution of civil society organization to good governance in Africa manifests is in the area of promotion of social justice, rights and the rule of law. There are two main dimensions to this issue:


1. The protective role of civil society organizations in shielding and sheltering individuals that repressive states threaten their rights. Civil society organizations achieve this by defending the rights of the vulnerable groups through the official legal process such as providing paralegal services to groups of citizens who do not have ready access to the courts.

2. The advocacy role of civil organizations in pressing for the implementation of existing laws or for the adoption of fresh legislative initiatives and institutional reforms that will enhance the application of rule of law and social justice\(^{51}\).

5.2 Enhancing state performance

The quality and effectiveness of public expenditures and services are integral to good governance. Civil society organizations have contributed here by working directly with government in shaping, financing and delivering public services in a variety of ways. These have taken the form of public-private partnerships in which civil society organizations work closely with state institutions in designing and providing health, education, and other social services, mobilizing funds from among client groups and other sources, and monitoring quality and coverage of social services\(^{52}\). In some cases, this has created the basis for synergy in which state institutions acquire greater legitimacy and improve their performance by developing responsive working relationships with civil society that draw on reservoirs of social capital built up in local communities.


5.3 Promotion of popular participation in public policy making

In many African countries, civil society organizations have also played a prominent role in mobilizing the people to participate fully in politics and public affairs. Usually, wealthy and socially dominant groups in the society are better able to organize themselves and, by virtue of superior resources and social status, are able to exert considerable influence over public policy. In addition, they can form and support intermediary organizations to effectively represent and articulate their interests. However, the poor and socially disadvantaged groups - marginal peasants, landless laborers, artisans, informal sector workers, and urban slum dwellers - are usually much less able to exercise influence over public policy and resource allocations. Civil society organizations have intervened in this area by mobilizing the socially disadvantaged groups and articulating their demands at local and higher levels53.

5.4 Promotion of transparency in governance

Civil society organizations in Africa have also contributed to good governance by pressing for the improvement of transparency in government and increasing the availability of information about policymaking and implementation. Activities undertaken by civil society organizations in this regard include the discovery, publication and dissemination of information about items of legislation, legal provisions, public expenditure allocations, the implementation of policy and programs, and special inquiries. Civil society organizations usually publish and circulate such information directly by groups, or through new or existing media outlets. Efforts to enhance transparency in government also contribute to poverty reduction by helping citizens monitor the delivery of development resources and check the appropriation of

resources by bureaucrats and local elites. This suggests a more activist role for the civil society, in which civic actors back up information gathering and dissemination activities with mobilization and public advocacy work.

6. Conclusion

The civil society has played a prominent role in the promotion of democracy and good governance in Africa. This role includes the struggle for decolonization and demilitarization as well as promotion of rule of law, popular participation in policy making, and transparency in governance. In order to provide a thorough analysis of the contributions of civil society to democracy in Africa, this article adopts the sociological conception of civil society. This conception extends the understanding of civil society to include a variety of organizations. These organizations range from contemporary advocacy NGOs that focus on issues of civil liberty, democracy, health and gender to traditional organizations like labor unions, professional associations, ethnic, and religious groups.

This article rejects the conventional notion of civil society as framework for analyzing the contribution of the civil society to democracy and good governance in Africa. It argues that any definitional notion that restricts constitutive elements of the civil society to only advocacy NGOs will leave out the role of the traditional organizations in the struggle for democracy in Africa. The article therefore underscores the need for scholars and practitioners to reflect the specific contexts as well as social and political environments within which civil society organizations operate in their analysis of the role of the civil society.
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